



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/539,632

11/09/2005

Yves Rayssiguier

1487-27

4695

23117 7590 04/28/2008

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC

901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR

ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

CRANE, LAWRENCE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Application No.</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Applicant(s)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10/539,632</td> <td>RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Examiner</td> <td>Art Unit</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lawrence E. Crane</td> <td>1623</td> </tr> </table>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10/539,632	RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.	Examiner	Art Unit	Lawrence E. Crane	1623
Application No.	Applicant(s)								
10/539,632	RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.								
Examiner	Art Unit								
Lawrence E. Crane	1623								

**All Participants:**

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) B. J. Sadoff (voice mail message).

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*All of record*

**Claims discussed:**  
*all of record, claim 11 specifically*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*none in detail.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant was advised that the amendment had overcome the rejections under 112, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs but that the rejection for obviousness had not been overcome, a result that would be summarized in an Advisory Action forthcoming.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)